1 2 3 4	DIAMOND MCCARTHY LLP Andrew B. Ryan, Esq. (pro hac vice) 1201 Elm Street, Suite 3400 Dallas, TX 75209 Telephone: 214-389-5300 Facsimile: 214-389-5399 aryan@diamondmccarthy.com
5	Counsel for Allan B. Diamond, Chapter 11 Trustee for Howrey LLP
7 8 9 10 11 12	KORNFIELD, NYBERG, BENDES & KUHNER, P.C. Eric A. Nyberg, Esq. (Bar No. 131105) Chris D. Kuhner, Esq. (Bar No. 173291) 1970 Broadway, Suite 225 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: 510-763-1000 Facsimile: 510-273-8669 e.nyberg@kornfieldlaw.com c.kuhner@kornfieldlaw.com Local Counsel for Allan B. Diamond, Chapter 11 Trustee for Howrey LLP
13 14	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
15 16) Case No. 11-31376 DM In re) Chapter 11 Howney LLP,) Hon. Dennis Montali
17 18 19	Debtor.) CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE'S MOTION) FOR ENTRY OF ORDER) APPROVING SETTLEMENT WITH) THE DCMB PARTNERS
20	
21	
22	
23	
242526	461352 v.3 Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1624 Filed: 01/14/14 Entered: 01/14/14 16:31:08 Page 1 of 17

TO THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Allan B. Diamond, the chapter 11 trustee ("Trustee") of the Estate of Howrey LLP ("Howrey" or "Debtor"), hereby submits this motion ("Motion") pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) for entry of an order approving a settlement between the Debtor and Lloyd R. Day, Jr., James R. Batchelder, Robert M. Galvin, Paul S. Grewal, Renee DuBord Brown, and Jackie N. Nakamura, (the "DCMB Partners"). This settlement (if approved) will resolve the Clawback Claims and the Tax Claims between the Trustee and the DCMB Partners through two paths: litigation and payment and is well within the Trustee's business judgment. In support of this Motion, the Trustee represents as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. The Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334(a) and (b). This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b) (2).

BACKGROUND

- 2. In the fall of 2009, Howrey merged with a California law firm known as Day Casebeer Madrid & Batchelder LLP ("DCMB") under the terms of a Business Combination Agreement ("BCA"). Pursuant to the BCA, the DCMB Partners joined Howrey. Of the DCMB Partners, seven joined as Level II partners at Howrey; only two joined Howrey as Level I partners.
- 3. On April 11, 2011, certain creditors of Howrey filed a chapter 7 involuntary petition against the Debtor. On June 6, 2011, the Court entered an order converting the above captioned case to a case under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 4. On September 22, 2011, the Court entered an order granting a motion to appoint a chapter 11 trustee. On October 7, 2011, the U.S. Trustee for the Northern District of California

appointed the Trustee as chapter 11 trustee for the Estate of Howrey. On October 12, 2011, the Court entered an order approving the appointment of the Trustee.

- 5. Since his appointment, the Trustee and his counsel have identified claims against various former Howrey partners (including the DCMB Partners) to recoup compensation each had received while Howrey was insolvent and/or unprofitable under various causes of action such as fraudulent transfers under the Bankruptcy Code and breach of contract (the "Clawback Claims").
- 6. In the summer of 2013, some of the DCMB Partners raised with the Trustee's counsel an issue unique to them: whether, under the BCA, the DCMB Partners are a special class of fixed income partners at Howrey. If true, this contention would have two practical effects. First, the DCMB Partners would likely owe the Estate very little (if anything) on the Clawback Claims because any compensation they received would have been guaranteed by contract. Second, the DCMB Partners would be exempt from allocations of Howrey's income particularly the contingent fee income it obtained after dissolution on the firm's federal income tax returns (the "Tax Claims").
- 7. Certain of the DCMB Partners filed a request for status conference on this issue, and the Trustee filed a limited opposition. The Court granted the DCMB Partners' request for status conference and appointed Judge Thomas A. Carlson (Bankr. N.D. Cal.) to serve as mediator between the parties.
- 8. The Parties mediated their dispute in August 2013, at which time an agreement was reached in principle. Since the mediation, the Parties have worked to memorialize the terms of the settlement in a written agreement. The terms of the agreement became final in mid-December 2013 and a true and correct copy of the settlement agreement (the "DCMB"

<u>Settlement</u>") is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. As discussed below and in his Declaration attached as **Exhibit B**, the Trustee has determined that the proposed settlement is well within the range of reasonableness and in the best interests of the Estate. *See* Diamond Decl. ¶¶ 1-17. By this Motion, the Trustee, therefore, requests that the Court approve the DCMB Settlement and grant the other requested relief so the bankruptcy Estate can reap the benefits of this compromise

TERMS OF PROPOSED DCMB SETTLEMENT

- 9. The DCMB Settlement aims to resolve the Clawback Claims and the Tax Claims through two paths: litigation and payment. If certain of the DCMB Partners do not wish to litigate the Tax Claims with the Estate, those partners must immediately pay the Estate. If some of the DCMB Partners do wish to litigate the Tax Claims with the Estate, the amount those partners pay the Estate is contingent upon the outcome of the Tax Claims litigation: If the DCMB Partners litigate and win, those partners pay nothing on the Clawback Claims, but if those partners lose, they pay the Estate 14% of the total value of the Clawback Claims (calculated as all monies received between the Second Quarter of 2010 and the First Quarter of 2011).
- 10. The DCMB Settlement also limits the scope of any litigation of the Tax Claims. The DCMB Partners will file a Complaint with the Bankruptcy Court seeking declaratory relief that is agreed upon by the DCMB Partners and the Trustee, the parties will take no discovery, and the parties will file summary judgment motions on the Complaint. Further, the parties have waived their right to appeal any final judgment and order entered by the Bankruptcy Court on the summary judgment motions. This outcome greatly benefits the Estate because it reduces the amount of money the Estate would have to spend to litigate the Tax Claims.

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1624 Filed: 01/14/14 Entered: 01/14/14 16:31:08 Page 4 of

11. As for the terms of payment, the DCMB Partners who choose to litigate will pay the Trustee 14% of the Clawback Claims if they lose, which, while lower than many of the settlements the Estate has already obtained, is justified by the unique facts and circumstances related to the DCMB Partners and the non-monetary terms of the settlement reached with them – namely, that by agreeing to a streamlined process involving an agreed declaratory judgment complaint mandating no discovery, presentation of the issues to the Bankruptcy Court and no appeal, the Estate will be saving significant litigation related costs than if the issues were litigated in the more expansive, traditional setting applying all of the rules of evidence, civil and potentially appellate procedure.

12. Further, some of the DCMB Partners have adduced evidence of a hardship to pay the Estate, and have provided that information to the Trustee confidentially. For those hardship cases, the Trustee has accepted a lower payment in order to reflect the realities of the situation and difficulties of collecting more from these individuals.

BASIS FOR RELIEF AND APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

13. By this Motion, the Trustee respectfully seeks the entry of an order pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) approving the DCMB Settlement. As discussed in further detail below, the DCMB Settlement provides a fair and equitable resolution of the Parties' disputes and is in the best interests of the Howrey Estate.

14. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) provides, in part, that "[o]n motion by the trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement." FED. R. BANKR. P. 9019(a). The Rule empowers bankruptcy courts to approve compromises and settlements that are "fair and equitable" and "in the best interest of the Estate." *Martin v. Kane (In re A & C Properties)*, 784 F.2d 1377, 1381 (9th Cir. 1986), *cert. denied sub nom. Martin v. Robinson*, 479

U.S. 854 (1986). "The bankruptcy court has 'great latitude' in approving compromise agreements." *Woodson v. Fireman's Insurance, Co. (In re Woodson)*, 839 F.2d 610, 620 (9th Cir. 1988).

- 15. To evaluate a compromise, a bankruptcy court considers "(a) [t]he probability of success in the litigation; (b) the difficulties, if any, to be encountered in the matter of collection; (c) the complexity of the litigation involved, and the expense, inconvenience and delay necessarily attending it; [and] (d) the paramount interest of the creditors and a proper deference to their reasonable views in the premises." *In re A & C Properties*, 784 F.3d at 1381.
- 16. In addition to the four prong test set forth in *A & C Properties*, it is also well established that "the law favors compromise and not litigation for its own sake." *See Port O'Call Investment Co. v. Blair (In re Blair)*, 538 F.2d 849, 851 (9th Cir. 1976).
- 17. Although the Trustee, as the proponent of the settlement, bears the burden of persuasion (*see id.*), "a court generally gives deference to a trustee's business judgment in deciding whether to settle a matter," *In re Mickey Thompson, Entertainment Group, Inc.*, 292 B.R. 415, 420 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2003).
- 18. As discussed below and in his Declaration, the Trustee has determined in the exercise of his reasonable business judgment that the proposed DCMB Settlement is fair and reasonable within the standards discussed above, and that it is in the best interests of the Howrey Estate. *See* Diamond Decl. ¶ 17.
- 19. Here, there is no question that the DCMB Settlement satisfies the *A&C Properties* factors.
- 20. **Factor #1: Probability of Success in the Litigation.** Although the Trustee is confident the Estate will prevail on the litigation of the Tax Claims, full-blown tax litigation

lower than prior partner settlements is justified. sufficient to pay the full amount of the Clawback Claims. Moreover, as discussed above, certain of the DCMB Partners have a hardship (such as confidential medical issues, change in nature of

21.

would be costly to the Estate with little to no benefit to creditors, and even prevailing on the Tax Claims would still require the Estate to litigate fully the Clawback Claims against the DCMB Partners. Given the risks of litigating the Clawback Claims (including, without limitation, the difficulties associated with proving Howrey's insolvency, lack of reasonably equivalent value, and other factors), the Trustee believes that settling with the DCMB Partners at a level somewhat

1

2

3

4

5

6

15 16 17

14

19 20

18

21 22

23

24 25

26

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1624

Filed: 01/14/14 Entered: 01/14/14 16:31:08 Page 7 of

Here, the

collection issue is whether the Estate could collect on a clawback judgment against the DCMB Partners. As with any litigation against individuals, some partners may have sufficient assets to pay the judgment; others may not have assets that are subject to judgment under state law and

employment, etc.) which would present a substantial difficulty in collecting a full judgment of

Factor #2: The Difficulties to be Encountered in Collection.

the Clawback Claims from them. Thus, the Trustee believes the DCMB Settlement satisfies the

second A&C Properties factor.

22. Factor #3: The Complexity of the Litigation Involved and its Expense, **Inconvenience and Delay.** This factor overwhelmingly supports the settlement. Not only would the clawback litigation be expensive and inconvenient, but the tax litigation would be the worst of all possible worlds. Protracted litigation, for which tax counsel would be necessary, would be costly with little to no benefit to the Estate. This, of course, is in addition to any cost related to litigating the Clawback Claims on their merits, which itself could be costly and lengthy. Lastly, this settlement embodies a litigation procedure that streamlines in a very substantial manner what otherwise would be a very costly litigation alternative. This procedure,

26

moreover, eliminates what otherwise could result in costly, protracted appeals enduring for years without final resolution for the Estate. The Trustee therefore believes it is beyond doubt that the DCMB Settlement satisfies the third A&C Properties factor.

- 23. **Factor #4: The Paramount Interest of the Creditors**. Finally, the DCMB Settlement is in the best interests of all creditors. In the short-term, the DCMB Settlement permits the Estate to collect some money and create framework to resolve tax issue without substantial costs to the Estate. Accordingly, the DCMB Settlement meets the fourth and final *A&C Properties* factor.
- 24. In summary, because the proposed settlements satisfy all of the *A & C Properties* factors, the Trustee has concluded in his business judgment that the DCMB Settlement is fair, equitable, and in the best interest of the Estate, and should be approved by the Court. *See* Diamond Decl. ¶ 17.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court grant the Motion and enter and order approving the Debtor's compromise with the DCMB Partners as described above.

1	Dated: January 14, 2014	
2		/s/ Andrew B. Ryan Andrew B. Ryan, Esq. (pro hac vice)
		DIAMOND MCCARTHY LLP
3		1201 Elm Street, Suite 3400
		Dallas, TX 75209
4		Telephone: 214-389-5300
		Facsimile: 214-389-5399
5		aryan@diamondmccarthy.com
6		Eric A. Nyberg, Esq. (Bar No. 131105)
		Chris D. Kuhner, Esq. (Bar No. 173291)
7		KORNFIELD, NYBERG, BENDES & KUHNER, P.C.
		1970 Broadway, Suite 225
8		Oakland, CA 94612
		Telephone: 510-763-1000
9		Facsimile: 510-273-8669
10		Counsel for Allan B. Diamond,
11		Chapter 11 Trustee for Howrey LLP
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

Doc# 1624 Filed: 01/14/14 Entered: 01/14/14 16:31:08 Page 9 of 17

1	NOTICE OF SERVICE
2 3	X (CM/ECF) The document was electronically served on the parties to this action via the mandatory United States Bankruptcy Court of California CM/ECF system upon filing of above described document:
4	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
5	X (ELECTRONIC MAIL SERVICE) By electronic mail (e-mail) the above listed document(s) without error to the email address(es) set forth below on this date:
6	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
7 8	X (UNITED STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the above-referenced documents for mailing in the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid, at Houston, Texas, to the parties listed, at their last known mailing addresses, on this date:
9	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
10	(OVERNIGHT COURIER) By depositing a true and correct copy of the above
11 12	referenced document for overnight delivery via Federal Express, at a collection facility maintained for such purpose, addressed to the parties on the attached service list, at their last known delivery address, on the date above written.
13 14	(COURIER SERVICE) By providing true and correct copies of the above referenced documents [with copies of the supporting detailed invoices/attorney time records for the Final Fee Application] via courier delivery, to the following on or about:
15	
16	(FACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-referenced document via facsimile, to the facsimile numbers indicated, to those people listed on the attached service list, on the date above written.
17	on the date above written.
18	/s/ Andrew B. Ryan
19	Andrew B. Ryan
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

10 Filed: 01/14/14 Entered: 01/14/14 16:31:08 Page 10 of 17

Doc# 1624

1	VIA CM/ECF:	
1	VIA CW/ECF.	
2	<u>United States Trustee</u>	Minnie Loo, Esq.
_		Donna S. Tamanaha, Esq.
3		Office of the U.S. Trustee
		235 Pine Street. 7 th Floor
4		San Francisco, CA 94104-3484
'		Email: Minnie.Loo@usdoj.gov
5		Email: Donna.S.Tamanaha@usdoj.gov
	Chapter 11 Trustee	Allan B. Diamond
6		Diamond McCarthy, LLP
		Two Houston Center
7		909 Fannin Street, Suite 1500
		Houston, Texas 77010
8		Email: adiamond@diamondmccarthy.com
0	Counsel for the Chapter 11 Trustee	Diamond McCarthy, LLP
9		Howard D. Ressler, Esq.
1.0		Email: hressler@diamondmccarthy.com
10		Stephen T. Loden, Esq.
		Email: sloden@diamondmccarthy.com
11		Jason M. Rudd, Esq.
12		Email: <u>jrudd@diamondmccarthy.com</u>
12		Kornfield Nyberg Bender & Kuhner P.C.
13		Eric Nyberg
13		Email: e.nyberg@kornfieldlaw.com
14		Chris D. Kuhner
17		Email: c.kuhner@kornfieldlaw.com
15	Debtor's Counsel	Wiley Rein LLP
		H. Jason Gold
16		Valerie P. Morison
		Dylan G. Trache
17		Email: jgold@wileyrein.com
		Email: <u>vmorrison@wileyrein.com</u>
18		Email: dtrache@wileyrein.com
19		Murray & Murray
1)		Robert A. Franklin
20		Craig M. Prim
20		Jenny Lynn Fountain
21		Email: rfranklin@murraylaw.com
21		Email: cprim@murraylaw.com
22		Email: jfountain@murraylaw.com
	Duane Morris LLP	Geoffrey A. Heaton, Esq.
23		Email: gheaton@duanemorris.com
		Aron M. Oiner, Esq.
24		Email: roliner@duanemorris.com
25		11

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1624 Filed: 01/14/14 Entered: 01/14/14 16:31:08 Page 11 of 17

1	Law Offices of Latham & Watkins	Kimberly A. Posin, Esq.
2	Law Offices of Lathani & Watkins	Email: kim.posin@lw.com
3	Murray & Murray	Craig M. Prim, Esq. Email: cprim@murraylaw.com
4		Robert A. Franklin, Esq.
4		Email: rfranklin@murraylaw.com Jenny L. Fountain, Esq.
5		Email: jlfountain@murraylaw.com
6	Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors	Whiteford, Taylor & Preston LLP Bradford F. Englander, Esq.
7		Email: benglander@wtplaw.com
		John F. Carlton, Esq.
8		Email: jcarlton@wtplaw.com Justin P. Fasano, Esq.
		Email: jfasano@wtplaw.com
9		Inam <u>jasano e raparreom</u>
10	Counsel for The Irvine Company, LLC	Allen Matkins, et al.
10		Email: mgreger@allenmatkins.com
11	Counsel for Creditor Citibank, N.A.	Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison
12		Kelley A. Cornish, Esq. Email: kcornish@paulweiss.com
12		Email. <u>kcornish@paulweiss.com</u>
13		McNutt Law Group, LLP Scott H. McNutt, Esq.
1.4		Email: smcnutt@ml-sf.com
14		
15	Counsel for Creditor Protiviti, Inc.	Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl & Jones
10		John D. Fiero, Esq. **Email: jfiero@pszjlaw.com** **Time Temperature** **Time Tempera
16		Email <u>filero@pszfiaw.com</u>
	Counsel for Creditor Oracle America, Inc.	Buchalter Nemer
17		Shawn M. Christianson, Esq.
18		Email: schristianson@buchalter.com
10	Counsel for Creditor U.S. Bank, N.A.,	Perkins Coie LLP
19	as Trustee	David J. Gold, Esq.
		Email: dgold@perkinscoie.com
20		Gabriel Liao, Esq. Email: gliao@perkinscoie.com
		Emu. guio e per un scote com
21	Counsel for Attorneys' Liability Assurance	Perkins Coie LLP
22	Society, Inc., A Risk Retention Group	Alan D. Smith, Esq.
22		Email: adsmith@perkinscoie.com
23	Counsel for Creditors Advanced Discovery	Trepel McGrane Greenfield LLP
	LLc, Give Something Back, Inc., Jan Brown	Maureen A. Harrington, Esq.
24	& Associates, Kent Daniels & Associates, Inc.,	Email: mharrington@tmcglaw.com

Doc# 1624 Filed: 01/14/14 Entered: 01/14/14 16:31:08 Page 12 of 17

1	L.A. Best Photocopies, Inc., Western Messenger Service, Inc.	Christopher D. Sullivan, Esq. Email: csullivan@tgsdlaw.com
2	Counsel for BP/CGCENTER I, LLC	Allen, Matkins, Leck, Gamble and Mallory
3	0044404101 227 0 0 021 1 221 2 3 22 0	William W. Huckins Email: whuckins@allenmatkins.com
4	Counsel for Creditor Warner Investment, L.P.	McKenna Long and Aldridge LLP Gregg S. Kleiner, Esq.
5		Email: gkleiner@mckennalong.com
6	Counsel for Creditor Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP	Dewey and LeBoeuf Paul S. Jasper, Esq.
7		Email: pjasper@dl.com
8	Counsel for Creditor Iron Mountain Information Management Inc.	Bartlett, Hackett and Feinberg Frank F. McGinn, Esq.
9		Email: ffm@bostonbusinesslaw.com
10	Counsel for Creditor Hines REIT 321 North Clark Street, LLC	DLA Piper LLP Frank T. Pepler, Esq. Email: frank.pepler@dlapiper.com
11	Counsel for Creditor Stephanie Langley	Outten and Golden LLP
12	Counsel for Creditor Stephanie Langley	Rene S. Roupinian, Esq. Email: rst@outtengolden.com
13	Counsel for Creditor Stephanie Langley	Law Offices of James D. Wood
14	1 0 1	James D. Wood, Esq. Email: jdw@jdwoodlaw.com
15	Counsel for Creditor Pension Benefit Guaranty Corp.	Office of the Chief Counsel John Holland Ginsberg, Esq.
16	Community Court	Email: ginsberg.john@pbgc.gov
17	Counsel for Interested Party Connecticut General Life Insurance Company	Schnader Harrison Segal and Lewis Melissa Lor, Esq.
18	1 0	Email: MLor@Schnader.com
19	Counsel for Howrey Claims LLC	McGrane LLP William McGrane, Esq.
20		Email: william.mcgrane@mcgranellp.com
21		Klein, DeNatale, Goldner, Cooper, Rosenlieb & Kimball, LLP
22		Christian D. Jinkerson, Esq. ijinkerson@kleinlaw.com
23	Counsel for Interested Party Connecticut General Life Insurance Co.	Melissa Lor Email: mlor@schnader.com
24		
25	1	3

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1624 Filed: 01/14/14 Entered: 01/14/14 16:31:08 Page 13 of 17

1	Counsel for Creditor Knickerbocker	Seyfarth Shaw LLP
2	Properties, Inc. XXXIII	Scott Olson, Esq. Email: solson@seyfarth.com
3	Counsel for Creditor Banc of America Leasing & Capital, LLC	Law Offices of Serlin and Whiteford Mark A. Serlin, Esq.
4		Email: mserlin@globelaw.com
5	Counsel for Creditor Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts	Bankruptcy & Collections Division Kimberly Walsh, Esq. Email: bk-kwalsh@oag.state.tx.us
6	Counsel for Creditor 200 S. Main Street	-
7	Investors, LLC	Ballard Spahr Andrews and Ingersoll Rebecca J. Winthrop, Esq. Email: <u>WinthropR@ballardspahr.com</u>
8		Penny M. Costa, Esq. Email: costap@ballardspahr.com
9	Counsel for Creditor Citibank, N.A.	Peitzman Weg LLP
10	,	Larry Peitzman, Esq.
10		Email: lpeitzman@peitzmanweg.com
11	Counsel for Amy J. Fink	Jones Day
12		Robert A. Trodella
12		Email: rtrodella@jonesday.com
13	Counsel for Harris County	Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP John P. Dillman
14		Email: houston_bankruptcy@lgbs.com
15	Counsel for Informal Group of Certain Former Howrey Attorneys	Dumas & Clark LLP Cecily A. Dumas
16		Email: <u>Cecily.dumas@dumasclark.com</u> Robert E. Clark
		Email: Robert.clark@dumasclark.com
17	Council for CAD Amorica Inc	Cooper White & Cooper I I D
18	Counsel for SAP America, Inc.	Cooper White & Cooper LLP Peter C. Califano Email: pcalifano@cwclaw.com
19	Counsel for SAP America, Inc.	Brown & Connery LLP
20		Donald K. Ludman, Esq.
20		Email: <u>dludman@brownconnery.com</u>
21	Counsel for McKenna Long and Aldridge	Michael A. Isaacs, Esq. Email: misaacs@mckennalong.com
22		aworthing@mckennalong.com
23		
24		
25		14

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1624 Filed: 01/14/14 Entered: 01/14/14 16:31:08 Page 14 of 17

1	Counsel for Jeffrey M. Judd	Judd Law Group
		Jeffrey M. Judd, Esq.
2		Email: jeff@juddlawgroup.com melanie@juddlawgroup.com
3		meunic C judawn g, oup teem
3	Counsel for McGrane LLP	William Joseph Walraven, Esq.
4		Email: anna.song@mcgranellp.com
	Counsel for Cooper US, Inc.	Cooper Industries, Inc.
5		Paula Beck Whitten, Esq.
6		Email: paula.whitten@cooperindustries.com
	Counsel for Neal Gerber & Eisenberg LLP	Hanson Bridgett LLP
7	Counsel for Near Gerber & Eisenberg LLi	Nancy J. Newman
8		Email: nnewman@hansonbridgett.com
9	<u>VIA EMAIL:</u>	
	Counsel for Creditor Citibank, N.A.	Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison
10		Kelley A. Cornish, Esq.
11		Email: kcornish@paulweiss.com Diane Meyers, Esq.
		Email: dmeyers@paulweiss.com
12		Jacob J. Adlerstein, Esq.
		Email: jadlerstein@paulweiss.com
13		ayoung@paulweiss.com
14		Ballard Spahr LLP
		Matthew Moncur, Esq. Email: moncurm@ballardspahr.com
15		Emai. moncurm@vanaraspanr.com
1.0		EMC Corporation
16		c/o Receivable Management Services
17		Steven Sass, Esq. Email: steven.sass@rmsna.com
1,		Ronald Rowland, Esq.
18		Email: Ronald.rowland@rmsna.com
19		Olin Corporation S. Christian Mullgardt
20		Email: scmullgardt@olin.com
20		
21		
22		
<i></i>		
23		
2.1		
24		
	II	

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1624 Filed: 01/14/14 Entered: 01/14/14 16:31:08 Page 15 of 17

1	VIA U.S. MAIL:
2	Richard Burdge, Esq. The Burdge Law Firm PC
3	500 S Grand Ave Ste 1500 Los Angeles, CA 90071
4	Jeffrey C. Wisler, Esq.
5	Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP 1007 North Orange Street
6	Wilmington, DE 19899 Attorneys for Interested Party Connecticut General Life Insurance Company
7	IKON Office Solutions Recovery & Bankruptcy Group
8	3920 Arkwright Road, Suite 400 Macon, GA 31210
9	EMC Corporation c/o RMS Bankruptcy Recovery Services
10	Attn: President or General/Managing Agent P.O. Box 5126
11	Timonium, MD 21094-5126
12	Salter & Company LLC 4600 East-West Highway, Suite 300
13	Bethesda, MD 20814
14	County of Loudoun Virginia Belkys Escobar
15	1 Harrison St., S.E. 5th Fl. Leesburg, VA 20175-3102
16	Matura Farrington Staffing Services, Inc. 700 So. Flower Street, Suite 2505
17	Los Angeles, CA 90017
18	Guy Davis Protiviti Inc.
19	1051 East Cary Street, Suite 602 Richmond, VA 23219
20	George E. Shoup, III
21	Development Specialists, inc. 6375 Riverside Drive, Suite 200 Dublin, OH 43017-5373
22	Duomi, On 45017-5575
23	
24	

Case: 11-31376 Doc# 1624 Filed: 01/

1	Kyle Everett
2	Development Specialists, Inc. 235 Pine Street, Suite 1150 San Francisco, CA 94104
3	James W. Martin
4	DHR International 5425 Wisconsin Ave., 4 th Floor
5	Chevy Chase, MD 20815
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

Filed: 01/14/14 Entered: 01/14/14 16:31:08 Page 17 of 17